

# Proposals for a Congressional Commission on the Financial Crisis: A Comparative Analysis

April 29, 2009

**Congressional Research Service** 

https://crsreports.congress.gov R40553

## **Summary**

This report provides a comparative analysis of six proposed congressional advisory commissions that would investigate various aspects of the recent financial crisis and economic downturn. The report specifically discusses (1) the membership structure, (2) appointment structure, (3) rules of procedure and operation, (4) duties and reporting requirements, (5) powers of the commission, (6) staffing issues, and (7) funding. **Tables 1 through 7** (at the end of the report) summarize major provisions of the six proposals.

The six proposed commissions are found in Senate amendment 995 to S. 386 (sponsored by Senator Isakson); S. 195 (the "Taxpayer Protection Act," sponsored by Senator Dorgan); S. 298 (the "Financial Markets Commission Act of 2009," sponsored by Senator Isakson); H.R. 74 (the "Financial Oversight Commission Act of 2009," sponsored by Representative Issa); H.R. 768 (the "Commission on Financial Crisis Accountability Act of 2009," sponsored by Representative Larson); and H.R. 2111 (the "Congressional Commission on Financial Accountability and Preparedness Act of 2009," sponsored by Representative Burgess).

The overall structures of each of the proposed commissions are similar in many respects, both to each other and to previous independent advisory entities established by Congress. Specifically, the proposed commissions (1) exist temporarily; (2) serve in an advisory capacity; and (3) report a work product detailing the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the commission. That said, each particular proposed commission has unique elements, particularly concerning its membership structure, appointment structure, and timeline for reporting its work product to Congress.

## **Contents**

ntroduction	1
Membership Structure	1
Size of Commission	1
Qualifications	2
Compensation of Commission Members	
Partisan Limitations	
Appointment Structure	4
Overview	4
Partisan Balance	
Vacancies	
Deadline for appointment	6
Rules of Procedure and Operation	
Chairman Selection	
Initial Meeting Deadline	
Quorum	
Public Access	7
Formulating Other Rules of Procedure	
FACA applicability	9
Outies and Reporting Requirements	9
General Duties.	9
Reports	10
Report Deadlines	
Report Submission	11
Commission Termination	12
Commission Powers	12
Hearings and Evidence	12
Subpoenas	
Administrative Support	
Other Powers	
Staffing	14
Director and Commission Staff	
Detailees.	
Experts and Consultants	
Security Clearances	
Funding and Costs	
Authorized Funding	
Costs	
0000	15
Tables	
140165	
Table 1. Comparison of Commission Membership Structure	16
Fable 2. Comparison of Commission Appointment Structure	19
Table 3. Comparison of Commission Rules of Procedure and Operation	

Table 4. Comparison of Commission Duties, Reporting Requirements, and Termination	23
Table 5. Comparison of Commission Powers	27
Table 6. Comparison of Commission Staffing	30
Table 7. Comparison of Commission Funding	33
Contacts	
Author Information	34

### Introduction

This report provides a comparative analysis of six proposed congressional advisory commissions<sup>1</sup> that would investigate various aspects of the recent financial crisis and economic downturn.<sup>2</sup> The six proposed commissions are found in: Senate amendment 995 to S. 386 (sponsored by Senator Isakson),<sup>3</sup> section 5 of S. 195 (the "Taxpayer Protection Act," sponsored by Senator Dorgan),<sup>4</sup> S. 298 (the "Financial Markets Commission Act of 2009," sponsored by Senator Isakson),<sup>5</sup> H.R. 74 (the "Financial Oversight Commission Act of 2009," sponsored by Representative Issa),<sup>6</sup> H.R. 768 (the "Commission on Financial Crisis Accountability Act of 2009," sponsored by Representative Larson),<sup>7</sup> and H.R. 2111 (the "Congressional Commission on Financial Accountability and Preparedness Act of 2009," sponsored by Representative Burgess).<sup>8</sup>

The overall structures of each of the proposed commissions are similar in many respects, both to each other and to previous independent advisory entities established by Congress. <sup>9</sup> Specifically, the proposed commissions (1) exist temporarily; (2) serve in an advisory capacity; and (3) report a work product detailing the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the commission. That said, each particular proposed commission has unique elements, particularly concerning its membership structure, appointment structure, and timeline for reporting its work product to Congress.

For each proposal, this report discusses (1) the membership structure, (2) appointment structure, (3) rules of procedure and operation, (4) duties and reporting requirements, (5) powers of the commission, (6) staffing issues, and (7) funding. **Tables 1 through 7** (at the end of this memorandum) summarize major provisions of the six proposals.

## **Membership Structure**

#### **Size of Commission**

The membership structure of each of the six proposed commissions is similar to previous independent advisory entities created by Congress. S. 195, S. 386, H.R. 74, and H.R. 768, all

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For general information on congressional advisory commissions, please see CRS Report R40076, *Congressional Commissions: Overview, Structure, and Legislative Considerations*, by Matthew Eric Glassman.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Proposals for committee or subcommittee investigations, select committee investigations, joint committee investigations, and executive branch investigations are not addressed in this report. Similarly, proposals for congressional commissions with narrower scopes (such as H.R. 1285, which proposes a commission to investigate mortgage foreclosures) are not considered here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Senate Amendment 995 to S. 386, agreed to 92-4 on 4/24/09. Future references in the text will refer to S. 386.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> S. 195, 111<sup>th</sup> Congress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> S. 298, 111th Congress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> H.R. 74, 111<sup>th</sup> Congress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> H.R. 768, 111<sup>th</sup> Congress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H.R. 2111, 111<sup>th</sup> Congress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Two potential analogous entities are the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan, P.L. 110-181, § 841, 122 Stat. 230 (2008), and the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, P.L. 107-306, 116 Stat. 2408 (2002).

propose 10-person commissions; S. 298 would create a 7-member entity; H.R. 2111 would create a 5-member entity. <sup>10</sup>

In general, there is significant variation in the size of congressional advisory commissions. Among the 83 congressional commissions created between the 101<sup>st</sup> and 110<sup>th</sup> Congresses, the average number of commissioners was 12, the largest commission having 28 members and the smallest commission having five members.<sup>11</sup>

Large commissions have the potential advantage of surveying a wider range of viewpoints, arguably allowing the commission to produce a better work product. A large commission may also aid a bill's chances of legislative success, especially if a greater number of interests can participate in the appointment process or gain representation on the commission. Small commissions, however, may enjoy efficiency advantages in completing their work product; hearings and meetings are also likely to be shorter and easier to coordinate. Similarly, overall commission costs may be lower for small commissions, and travel and other expenses may be less.

#### Qualifications

Requiring commission members to possess certain substantive qualifications, which has the effect of limiting the degree of autonomy in the appointing of commission membership, has been used occasionally in other legislation creating congressional commissions. <sup>12</sup> Such provisions arguably ensure that the commission is populated with genuine experts in the policy area, which may improve the final work product of the commission. On the other hand, it can be difficult to properly specify such language in legislation. If the qualifications are too precise, certain experts who could be valuable members of the commission may be excluded from consideration. If the qualification provisions are too vague, they may be difficult or impossible to enforce.

H.R. 2111 would place no qualifications on membership. S. 386 would provide that commission members should be "prominent U.S. citizens" with "national recognition and significant depth of expertise" in a variety of fields related to economics and finance, and would prohibit government employees, including Members of Congress, from being members. H.R. 74 and S. 298 would provide "the sense of Congress" that commission members should be "prominent U.S. citizens" with "national recognition and significant depth of expertise" in a variety of fields related to economics and finance. In addition, H.R. 74 prohibits federal, state, or local government

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> S. 195 § 5(b); S. 386 § 5(b); H.R. 74 § 4(a); H.R. 768 § 4(a); S. 298 § 3(a); H.R. 2111 § 2(d)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> A database search of a variety of relevant terms was conducted using the Legislative Information System (LIS) for the 101<sup>st</sup> through 110<sup>th</sup> Congresses (1989-2008) to capture all congressional commissions enacted into law. The universe of data included all temporary multi-member independent entities that (1) existed temporarily, (2) were statutorily created, (3) served in an advisory capacity, (4) were appointed in part or whole by Members of Congress, and (5) reported to Congress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> For example, statutory language may require the appointing official to select members who are specifically qualified by virtue of their education, knowledge, training, experience, expertise, distinguished service, or recognized eminence in a particular field or fields. For example, legislation establishing the United States Commission on North American Energy Freedom, P.L. 109-58, 119 Stat. 1064 (2005) prescribes that nominees for the commission must be "knowledgeable on energy issues, including oil and gas exploration and production, crude oil refining, oil and gas pipelines, electricity production and transmission, coal, unconventional hydrocarbon resources, fuel cells, motor vehicle power systems, nuclear energy, renewable energy, biofuels, energy efficiency, and energy conservation."

<sup>13</sup> S. 386 § 5(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(b)(3); S. 298 § 3(b).

employees, including Members of Congress, from serving as members of the commission.<sup>15</sup> H.R. 768 would require that commissioners have "knowledge and expertise in matters to be studied" and do not have conflicts of interest with any matter the commission would be examining.<sup>16</sup> S. 195 would require that members be U.S. citizens with expertise in a variety of fields related to economics and finance, and not have certain conflicts of interest. In addition, no more than two members of the commission may be government employees.<sup>17</sup>

## **Compensation of Commission Members**

Five proposals would provide that commission members be compensated "at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay for level IV of the Executive schedule"... "for each day (including travel time) during which such member is engaged in the actual performance of duties vested in the Commission." H.R. 2111 would provide that commission members be compensated at the daily equivalent rate of the annual rate of basic pay for level I of the executive schedule. <sup>19</sup>

H.R. 768 and H.R. 2111 would prohibit federal employees who serve on the Commission from receiving compensation. <sup>20</sup> S. 195 would prohibit all government employees who serve on the Commission from receiving compensation. <sup>21</sup> Members of all six proposed commissions would receive travel expenses, including a per diem. <sup>22</sup>

Most similar past advisory entities have not compensated their members. Those that have typically provided compensation at the daily equivalent of level IV of the executive schedule.<sup>23</sup>

#### **Partisan Limitations**

H.R. 74 would provide that not more than five members of the commission be from the same political party.<sup>24</sup> This is somewhat unusual, as most advisory entities created by Congress do not impose formal partisan restrictions on the membership structure.<sup>25</sup> It may also be difficult to assess the political affiliation of potential members, who may have no formal affiliation (voter

<sup>22</sup> H.R. 74 § 9(b); H.R. 768 § 4(h); S. 195 § 5(i); S. 298 § 7(b); S. 386 § 5(f)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(b)(2). It is likely that such a prohibition would preclude academic employees of state colleges or universities from serving on the commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> S. 195 § 5(c).

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$  S.  $^{195}$  §  $^{5}$  (h)(1); S.  $^{386}$  §  $^{5}$  (f)(1); H.R.  $^{74}$  §  $^{9}$ (a); H.R.  $^{768}$  §  $^{4}$  (g)(1); S.  $^{298}$  §  $^{7}$ (a). During 2009, level IV of the Executive schedule is \$153,200. Assuming an 8-hour work day, the daily equivalent rate would be \$587 per day per member. See Executive Order 13483, "Adjustments of Certain Rates of Pay,"  $^{73}$  Fed. Reg.  $^{78587}$ , Dec.  $^{23}$ , 2008; 5 U.S.C.  $^{5504}$  (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> H.R. 2111 § 2(d)(2). During 2009, level I of the Executive schedule is \$196,700. Assuming an 8-hour work day, the daily equivalent rate would be \$754 per day per member. See Executive Order 13483, "Adjustments of Certain Rates of Pay," 73 Fed. Reg. 78587, Dec. 23, 2008; 5 U.S.C. 5504 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(g)(2); H.R. 2111 § 2(d)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> S. 195 § 5(h)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> An analysis of 83 congressional advisory bodies created during the past 20 years indicates that approximately two-thirds (57) did not compensate their members, except to reimburse members for expenses directly related to their service, such as travel costs. Among those that did compensate their members (26), almost all provided that the level of compensation would be the daily equivalent of Level IV of the Executive Schedule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> The use of formal partisan membership structures is somewhat more common in executive branch regulatory commissions.

registration, for example) with a political party. Instead, most past advisory commissions usually achieve partisan balance through the appointment structure.

## **Appointment Structure**

#### Overview

Congressional commissions use a wide variety of appointment structures. The statutory scheme may designate specific members of the commission, such as a specific cabinet official or congressional leader. In other cases, selected leaders, often with balance between the parties, appoint commission members. A third common statutory scheme is to have selected leaders, such as committee chair and ranking Members, recommend candidates for appointment to a commission. These leaders may act either in parallel or jointly, and the recommendation may be made either to other congressional leaders, such as the Speaker of the House and President pro tempore of the Senate, or to the President.

The decisions made when devising a commission's appointment structure are not unimportant, particularly concerning Member participation as commissioners. Inclusion of legislators on such panels ensures that Congress will be able to exercise a certain degree of control over the operations or outcome of the entity concerned. At the same time, service by Members on commissions is arguably antithetical to two of the rationales for creating a commission in the first place: to reduce the workload of Congress by delegating certain functions to temporary bodies and to produce independent advice.

Even in the absence of direct membership on a commission, in drafting the particulars of an appointment scheme, legislators can dictate, to some degree, the measure of autonomy a commission enjoys. For example, although the legislation creating the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States (the 9-11 Commission) did not stipulate that Members of Congress be included in the commission's membership, it did call for 9 of the 10 members of the commission to be selected by congressional leaders, so that Congress would remain influential in shaping the commission. Attention to the proper balance between the number of members appointed by congressional leaders and by other individuals, or to the number of Members of Congress required to be among the appointees, or to the qualifications of appointees, can be significant factors in enabling a commission to fulfill its congressional mandate.

In general, a commission's appointment scheme can impact both the ability of the commission to fulfill its statutory duties and the final work product it produces. For instance, if the scheme provides only for the appointment of Members of Congress to the commission, it arguably might not have the technical expertise or diversity of knowledge to complete its duties within the time given by statute. Similarly, if the appointment scheme includes qualifying provisos so specific that only a small set of private citizens could serve on the panel, the final work product of the commission may arguably only represent a narrow range of viewpoints.

In general, all six proposals provide for similar appointment structures (see **Table 2**). In each case, most appointments are made by congressional leaders. Additionally, H.R. 74 and S. 195 provide for one appointment to be made by the President; and S. 298 includes two Presidential appointments and an appointment made by the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve. <sup>26</sup> S. 386 includes four appointments made by committee chair and ranking

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(a); S. 195 § 5(b).S. 298 § 3(a).

Members.<sup>27</sup> H.R. 768 and S. 386 are notable in that they provide no executive branch appointments.<sup>28</sup>

#### Partisan Balance

Most congressional commissions have been structured to be bipartisan, with either an even split of appointments between majority and minority or with a one member advantage for the majority. By achieving a nonpartisan or bipartisan character, congressional commissions may make their findings and recommendations more politically acceptable to diverse viewpoints. The bipartisan or nonpartisan arrangement can give recommendations strong credibility, both in Congress and among the public, even when dealing with divisive issues of public policy. Similarly, a commission bill that is perceived as partisan may have difficulty gathering the necessary support in Congress.

In some cases, however, seeking bipartisanship may reduce the potential legislative coalition for the bill, if supporters believe that the commission's work would be compromised by creating a bipartisan commission. Bipartisanship also can arguably impede a commission's ability to complete its mandate. In situations where a commission is tasked with studying inherently partisan issues—such as oversight of executive branch activities—the appointment of an equal number of majority and minority commissioners may serve to promote partisanship within the commission rather than suppress it.

Each of the six proposals employs a structure that provides for appointments by both the majority and minority parties in Congress. H.R. 768 and S. 386, which have only congressional appointments, include six majority appointments and four minority appointments. H.R. 2111, which also has only congressional appointments, includes two majority appointments, two minority appointments, and one majority appointment with minority recommendation. S. 195 provides for four majority and five minority appointments, as well as one Presidential appointment. H.R. 74 provides for a specifically partisan structure, with five Democratic appointments, four Republican appointments, and one Presidential appointment. S. 298 provides for two majority appointments, two minority appointments, two Presidential appointments, and one other executive branch appointment.

#### Vacancies

All six proposals provide that vacancies on the commission be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.<sup>34</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> S. 386 § 5(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(a); S. 386 § 5(b). Many congressionally established advisory entities, including those designed for oversight of the executive branch, provide for an appointment structure that includes presidential or other executive branch input. For example, see the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(a); S. 386 § 5(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> H.R. 2111 § 2(d)(1)(A)-(E).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> S. 195 § 5(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> S. 298 § 3(a). The non-presidential executive branch appointment would be made by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(c); H.R. 768 § 4(d); S. 195 § 5(c)(6); S. 298 § 3(e); S. 386 § 5(b)(5).

## Deadline for appointment

Three of the bills propose specific deadlines for appointment of commissioners; S. 195 proposes a 30 day deadline from the date of enactment;<sup>35</sup> H.R. 74 and H.R. 768 propose a 60 day deadline from enactment.<sup>36</sup> H.R. 2111, S. 298 and S. 386 do not provide for an appointment deadline.<sup>37</sup> Given the quorum requirements of H.R. 2111, S. 298 and S. 386 (four of five members, four of seven members, and six of ten members, respectively), this could potentially delay official action of the commission if appointers do not make selections or if there is difficulty in finding acceptable candidates willing to serve on the panel.

Typically, deadlines for appointment can range from several weeks to several months. For example, the deadline for appointments to the Antitrust Modernization Commission was 60 days after the enactment of the act.<sup>38</sup> The deadline for appointment to the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan was 120 days from the date of enactment. The deadline for appointment to the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States was December 15, 2002, 18 days after enactment of the act.

## **Rules of Procedure and Operation**

While most statutes authorizing the creation of congressional advisory bodies do not provide detailed procedures for how the commission should conduct its business, the statutory language often provides a general structure, including a mechanism for selecting a chair and procedures for creating rules.<sup>39</sup> Only S. 195 would specifically authorize the commission to establish procedural rules;<sup>40</sup> all six bills, however, would provide for certain procedural rules statutorily.

#### **Chairman Selection**

Five of the proposals would provide for either a chair, co-chairs, or chair and vice-chair of the commission. H.R. 768 provides for a single chair, designated by the Speaker of the House after consultation with majority and minority congressional leaders. S. 386 would provide for a chair and vice-chair, designated by majority and minority leaders in Congress, respectively. S. 298 would provide that the members of the commission elect their own chair and vice-chair, with the provision that both cannot be from the same political party. H.R. 74 would specifically designate a chair and vice chair as the members appointed by the President and the Democratic leader of the Senate, respectively. Similarly, S. 195 would designate a chair and vice-chair as the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> S. 195 § 5(b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(f); H.R. 768 § 4(b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> S. 298 and S. 386, however, provide for a provisional initial organizing meeting of the commission if four of the members have been appointed. S. 298 § 3(d); S. 386 § 5(b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> P.L. 107-273, 116 Stat. 1758, (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> For example, the statute authorizing the National Gambling Impact Study Commission provides that the Commission may establish by majority vote any other rules for the conduct of the Commission's business, if such rules are not inconsistent with this act or other applicable law. See P.L. 104-169, 110 Stat. 1482 (1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> S. 195 § 5(c)(7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> S. 386 § 5(b)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> S. 298 § 3(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(a)(1), 4(a)(2).

members selected by the President and the minority leader of the Senate (in consultation with the minority leader of the House), respectively.<sup>45</sup>

H.R. 2111 does not provide for the selection of a chair. Presumably, however, the proposed commission would need to select a chair, as the legislation provides for specific duties (calling meetings; requesting information).<sup>46</sup>

## **Initial Meeting Deadline**

Two of the bills propose specific deadlines for the first meeting of the commission; S. 195 proposes a 45 day deadline from the date of enactment; <sup>47</sup> H.R. 768 proposes a 30 day deadline from the date on which all members have been appointed, <sup>48</sup> which is—given the 60 day deadline for appointment—effectively a maximum of 90 days after enactment.

H.R. 74 does not provide for an initial meeting deadline. Instead, it directs the commission to meet as "soon as practicable." S. 298 and S. 386 provide that members of the commission "may meet" 45 days after enactment if four members have been appointed by that time. Under any of these guidelines, official action of the commission could be delayed if members choose not to hold an official meeting until the commission completes its hiring and other preliminary activities. H.R. 2111 provides no deadline for an initial meeting.

#### Quorum

Most commission statutes provide that a quorum will consist of a particular number of commissioners, usually a majority but occasionally a supermajority. All six bills would provide for a quorum requirement in order to conduct business. H.R. 768 would define a quorum as a majority of members. H.R. 74, S. 195, and S. 386 would provide that a quorum be six (of ten) members. S. 298 would provide that a quorum be four (of seven) members. H.R. 2111 would provide that a quorum be four (of five) members. H.R. 768 and H.R. 2111 specifically would provide that fewer than a quorum of members may hold hearings.

#### **Public Access**

H.R. 74 would require that hearings be open to the public and reports be made publicly available. <sup>56</sup> The other proposals would leave public access at the discretion of the commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> S. 195 § 5(b)(1)-(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> H.R. 2111 § 2(d)(7); H.R. 2111 § 2(f)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> S. 195 § 5(c)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(k).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> S. 298 § 3(d); S. 386 § 5(b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(j).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> H.R. 74 § 4(c); S. 195 § 5(c)(6); S. 386 § 5(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> S. 298 § 3(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> H.R. 2111 § 2(d)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(j); H.R. 2111 § 2(d)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> H.R. 74 § 7(b)-(c).

### Formulating Other Rules of Procedure

Absent statutory guidance (either in general statutes or in individual statutes authorizing commissions), advisory entities vary widely in how they adopt their procedures. In general, three models exist: formal written rules, informal rules, and norms. Any individual advisory entity might make use of all three of these models for different types of decision making.

- (1) **Formal Written Rules**: Some advisory entities choose to formalize their procedures for meetings and hearings. For example, the United States China Economic and Security Review Commission<sup>57</sup> established written rules of procedure for the conduct of both meetings of the commission and for hearings held by the commission. The rules include procedures for the selection of chairs, proxy use, budgeting, expenditures of money, hiring and firing of staff, commissioner ethics, and periodic revision of the rules. <sup>58</sup> Changes to the rules require a majority vote of the commission as well as review by outside counsel. <sup>59</sup> The commission's written rules for hearings include procedures for the hearing structure, the selection of panelists, generation of questions, opening statements, and post-hearing recommendations to Congress. <sup>60</sup>
- (2) **Informal Rules**: Some advisory entities adopt set processes for establishing rules piecemeal as the need arises. For example, the National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Commission did not establish formal written rules of procedure. However, the members of the commission did take occasional votes to clarify particular meetings procedures. For example, at the first meetings of the commission, members voted by simple majority as to whether future votes of commission members could be conducted by proxy. Although the result of this vote was used as precedent for the remainder of the commission's existence, neither the result of the vote, the rule, or the rules governing the vote itself were formalized in a written fashion.
- (3) **Norms**: Many advisory entities choose not to create formal rules for commission meetings or hearings. Instead, they rely on a collegial relationship between members and staff, and conduct the meetings in a procedurally flexible manner. In some cases, deference to the wishes of the chair is followed for procedural matters. For instance, the Congressional-Executive Commission on China does not operate within a system of formal rules of procedure. <sup>65</sup> Commission members make collective agreements about operational issues such as the recording of minutes or voting procedure, but these agreements are created and enforced by collective norms and collegial interactions, not formal action or votes. <sup>66</sup> Similarly, the National Surface Transportation

<sup>64</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> See P.L. 106-398, 114 Stat. 1654A-334 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission, *Commission Rules*, adopted June 6, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> *Id*. Rule 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission, *Procedures and Responsibilities of Hearing Cochairs*.

<sup>61</sup> P.L. 109-59, 119 Stat. 1470 ( 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Interview with Susan Binder, former Executive Director, National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Commission, July 10, 2008.

<sup>63</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Interview with Douglas Grob, Staff Director, July 10, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> *Id*.

Infrastructure Finance Commission<sup>67</sup> relied on member collegiality and deference to the chair and co-chair of the commission for procedural decisions.<sup>68</sup>

The choice to adopt written rules or rely on informal norms to guide commission procedure may be based on a variety of factors, such as the size of the entity, frequency of meetings, member preferences regarding formality, the level of collegiality among members, and the amount of procedural guidance provided by the entity's authorizing statute. Regardless of how procedural issues are handled, protocol for decision-making regarding the following operational issues may be important for the commission to consider at the outset of its existence: eligibility to vote and proxy rules; staff hiring, compensation, and work assignments; hearings, meetings, and field visits; non-staff expenditures and contracting; reports to Congress; budgeting; and procedures for future modification of rules.

## FACA applicability

The Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) mandates certain structural and operational requirements, including formal reporting and oversight procedures, for certain federal advisory bodies that advise the executive branch. <sup>69</sup> One proposal (H.R. 74) specifically exempts the proposed commission from FACA. 70 FACA would also not apply to the commissions proposed in H.R. 768 and H.R. 2111, because they would be appointed entirely by Members of Congress and report only to Congress. 71 Similarly, it is unlikely that the commission proposed in S. 195 would be subject to FACA, as it reports only to Congress.<sup>72</sup> While the commissions proposed in S. 386 and S. 298 do include either executive branch appointments or reports to the President, it is unlikely that these commissions would be subject to FACA, as they would be expressly established in the legislative branch and the majority of their appointments would be made by Congress.<sup>73</sup>

## **Duties and Reporting Requirements**

#### **General Duties**

Commissions established by Congress are usually statutorily directed to carry out specific tasks. These can include studying a problem, fact-finding, assessing conditions, conducting an investigation, reviewing policy proposals, crafting recommendations, and making feasibility determinations. Commissions can be generally placed into one of three categories. Most congressional commissions are policy commissions, temporary bodies which study particular policy problems and report their findings to Congress. Less common are investigative commissions, which are similar in structure to policy commissions but tasked with reviewing

<sup>68</sup> Interview with Jack Wells, staff director, July 10, 2008.

Congressional Research Service

<sup>67</sup> P.L. 109-59, 119 Stat. 1962 (2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> For more information on the Federal Advisory Committee Act, see CRS Report R40520, Federal Advisory Committees: An Overview, by Wendy R. Ginsberg.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> H.R. 74 § 7(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(a), 3(b); H.R. 2111 § 2(d)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> S. 195 § 5(f). Although the reporting provisions of S. 195 require the commission only to report to Congress, under its listed "purposes" in section (a)(5), the commission is broadly asked to "report to the President and Congress." <sup>73</sup> S. 298 § 2, 3(a).

specific events or crises. *Commemorative commissions* are entities established to commemorate a person or event, often to mark an anniversary.

All six of the proposed commissions would be tasked with duties that are analogous to both past investigative commissions and past policy commissions. While the specific mandates differ somewhat, all six are tasked with investigating aspects of the recent financial and economic downturn, and submitting a report that includes their findings, conclusions, and recommendations for legislative action.<sup>74</sup> For a detailed comparison of specific duties, see **Table 4**.

In addition to conducting an investigation and issuing a report, several of the proposed commissions would have additional duties. H.R. 768 would require the commission to make recommendations for a shareholders bill of rights. <sup>75</sup> S. 298 and S. 386 would require the commission to refer to the Attorney General and any appropriate state attorney general any person that the commission found may have violated the law. <sup>76</sup> S. 386 also would require that the chair of the commission appear before Congress within 120 days of submission of the final report. <sup>77</sup>

### Reports

Each proposed commission would be tasked with issuing a final report detailing its findings, conclusions, and recommendations.<sup>78</sup> S. 195 specifically provides for the commission to submit two interim reports.<sup>79</sup> H.R. 2111 specifically provides for the commission to submit monthly reports, as well as two special reports.<sup>80</sup> H.R. 74 and S. 298 specifically provide for the commission to submit optional interim reports.<sup>81</sup> H.R. 74 also provides for a four year "continued review" period after the submission of the final report, with the commission empowered to submit additional optional reports as it determines appropriate.<sup>82</sup>

None of the bills specify any conditions for the majority threshold required for approval of the reports. Similarly, they make no provisions for the submission of minority viewpoints. Presumably this would leave the members of each commission with discretion as to the required majority needed for adoption of a report and the inclusion or exclusion of minority viewpoints. Past advisory entities have been proposed or established with a variety of statutory reporting conditions, including the specification of majority<sup>83</sup> or super-majority rules for report adoption<sup>84</sup> and provisions requiring the inclusion of minority viewpoints. In practice, advisory bodies that

<sup>76</sup> S. 298 § 4(4); S. 386 § 5(c)(4).

80 H.R. 2111 § 2(c)(2)-(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> H.R. 74 § 5(a); H.R. 768 § 3(a); S. 298 § 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> H.R. 768 § 4(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> S. 386 § 5(g)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> H.R. 74 § 5(a)(3); H.R. 768 § 3(b); S. 195 § 5(f)(1); S. 386 § 5(f)(1); S. 298 § 8(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> S. 195 § 5(f).

<sup>81</sup> H.R. 74 § 11(c)-(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> H.R. 74 § 11(a); S. 298 § 8(b). It is unclear whether the commission would need the same amount of staff, money, and resources during the continued review period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> For example, see National Commission on the Cost of Higher Education, P.L. 105-18, 111 Stat. 207 (1997); Commission on the Prevention of Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation and Terrorism, P.L. 110-53, 121 Stat. 501 (2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> For example, see the proposed Bipartisan Task Force for Responsible Fiscal Action, S. 2063, 110<sup>th</sup> Congress, which would require a 3/4 approval of the commission for the adoption of the final report.

<sup>85</sup> For example, see Human Spaceflight Independent Investigation Commission, P.L. 109-155, 119 Stat. 2941(2005).

are not given statutory direction on these matters have tended to work under simple-majority rules for report adoption.

### Report Deadlines

The length of time granted to a congressional commission for the completion of its work product is arguably one of the most consequential decisions in the design of an advisory entity: if the entity is given a short amount of time, the quality of its work product may suffer or it may not be able to fulfill its statutory mandate on time.

On the other hand, if the commission is given a long amount of time to complete its work product, it may undermine one of the primary legislative advantages of a commission, the timely production of expert advice on a current matter. A short deadline may also affect the process of "standing up" a new commission; the selection of commissioners, recruitment of staff, arrangement of office space, and other logistical matters may require expedited action if short deadlines need to be met.

S. 195, H.R. 74, and H.R. 2111 would require a final report one year after enactment. 86 S. 298 would require a final report one year after the first meeting of the commission. 87 S. 386 would require final report submission on December 15, 2010. 88 While such a deadline would potentially give the commission over 18 months to complete its work, setting such a date certain for completion of the report could potentially be problematic, as any delay in the passage of the legislation or appointment process would reduce the amount of time the commission has to complete its work product.

H.R. 768 would provide a 90-day deadline. 89 In comparison with other advisory entities established by Congress, a 90-day deadline provides only a short amount of time between the establishment of the panel and the required date of submission. Most advisory entities established by Congress are given longer statutory deadlines for the submission of their reports, ranging from 5 months to three or more years. 90

## **Report Submission**

Most commissions created by Congress are required to submit their reports to Congress, and sometimes to the President or executive department or agency heads. The majority of commissions created in the past 20 years (57%) have submitted their work product to both Congress and the President. A substantial number (27%) of commissions have submitted their work to Congress only. The remainder (16%) have submitted their work to both Congress and an executive branch agency.

<sup>88</sup> S. 386 § 5(g)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> S. 195 § 5(f); H.R. 74 § 11(b); H.R. 2111 § 2(c)(2)(C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> S. 298 § 8(a).

<sup>89</sup> H.R. 768 § 7.

<sup>90</sup> See, e.g., The National Commission on the Cost of Higher Education, P.L. 105-18, 111 Stat. 207 (1997) and the Antitrust Modernization Commission, P.L. 107-273, 116 Stat. 1856 (2002).

Of the six proposed commissions, three (H.R. 74, S. 298, and S. 386) are directed to submit their reports to both Congress and the President. The other three (H.R. 768, H.R. 2111 and S. 195) are directed to submit their reports only to Congress. 22

#### **Commission Termination**

Congressional commissions are usually statutorily mandated to terminate. Termination dates for most commissions are linked to either a fixed period of time after the establishment of the commission, the selection of members, or the date of submission of the commission's final report. Alternatively, some commissions are given fixed calendar termination dates.

S. 195, S. 298, and S. 386 would provide for the commission to terminate 60 days after submission of its final report. H.R. 768 would provide for termination 30 days after submission of the final report. H.R. 2111 would terminate one year after enactment. H.R. 74 would provide for the commission to terminate four years after the submission of the final report. This four year period would comprise a "continued review" period, during which the commission would continue to review the subjects investigated by the commission and the governmental response to the final report, with the option to submit additional reports as the commission determines appropriate. He commission

### **Commission Powers**

Each of the six proposals would provide the proposed commission with a different set of powers. For specific language for each of these powers, please see **Table 5**.

One general issue is *who* is authorized to execute such powers. In some cases, the commission itself is authorized to execute the powers, leaving it up to the commission as a whole to devise rules and procedures for the general use of such power. In other cases, the legislation specifically authorizes the commission to give discretionary power to subcommittees of the commission or individual members. <sup>97</sup> Finally, the legislation itself might grant certain powers to individual members of the commission, such as the chair. <sup>98</sup>

## Hearings and Evidence

All six bills would provide the proposed commission with the power to hold hearings, take testimony, and receive evidence. <sup>99</sup> Five of the commissions would also be provided the power to

7 H.K.

95 H.R. 2111 § 2(g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> H.R. 74 § 11(b); S. 298 § 8(a); S. 386 § 5(g)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> H.R. 768 § 3(b); S. 195 § 5(f); H.R. 2111 § 2(c)(2)(C).

 $<sup>^{93}</sup>$  S. 195  $\S$  5(k); S. 298  $\S$  8(c); S. 386  $\S$  5(h).

<sup>94</sup> H.R. 768 § 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> H.R. 74 § 11(c)-(d). It is unclear whether the commission would need the same amount of staff, money, and resources during the continued review period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> For example, see H.R. 768 § 6(b), which states that "any member or agent of the Commission may, if authorized by the Commission, take any action which the Commission is authorized to take by this section." See also S. 298 § 5(h); H.R. 2111 § 2(f)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> For example, see H.R. 74 § 6(a)(2)(i)(I), which authorizes the issuance of subpoenas "by agreement of the chairman and vice-chairman."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(a)(1); H.R. 768 § 6(a); H.R. 2111 § 2(f)(1); S. 195 § 5(d)(1); S. 298 § 5(a); S. 386 § 5(d)(1)(A).

administer oaths and issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents. 100

## Subpoenas

Of the five bills that would provide the commission with subpoena power, three designate specific requirements for issuance. Both S. 195 and H.R. 74 would provide that a subpoena could only be issued by either (1) agreement of the chair and vice-chair, or (2) the affirmative vote of six (of 10) commission members. <sup>101</sup> H.R. 2111 would allow any member of the commission to issue a subpoena, but only after approval of the House Office of General Counsel. <sup>102</sup> S. 298 and S. 386 do not specify a requirement for issuance; it only states that "the commission" may "require, by subpoena" attendance or documents. <sup>103</sup> All four bills would provide substantially similar judicial methods of subpoena enforcement. <sup>104</sup>

## **Administrative Support**

Four of the bills would provide that the commission receive administrative support from specified federal agencies. Three of the bills (H.R. 74, S. 195 H.R. 768) would provide that the Administrator of General Services provide administrative support on a reimbursable basis to the commission. <sup>105</sup> S. 195 and H.R. 74 would further provide that other departments and agencies may provide services, funds, facilities, staff, and other services. <sup>106</sup> S. 298 would provide that the Secretary of the Treasury provide administrative support to the commission, and that other departments or agencies could provide such support as they deem advisable. <sup>107</sup> S. 386 and H.R. 2111 do not specify a source of administrative support.

#### **Other Powers**

All six bills would provide that federal agencies provide information to the commission upon request. <sup>108</sup> Five of the bills would also provide the commission with authority to use the U.S. mails in the same manner as any department or agency. <sup>109</sup> Three of the bills would provide the commission with the authority to enter into contracts. <sup>110</sup> Four of the bills would provide the commission with the authority to accept gifts or donations of services or property. <sup>111</sup>

<sup>108</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(c); H.R. 768 § 6(c); H.R. 2111 § 2(f)(3); S. 195 § 5(d)(4); S. 298 § 5(d); S. 386 § 5(d)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(a)(2); S. 195 § 5(d)(1)-(2); S. 298 § 5(a)(1)-(2); S. 386 § 5(d)(1)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(a)(2)(A)(i); S. 195 § 5(d)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> H.R. 2111 § 2(f)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> S. 298 § 5(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(a)(2)(B); S. 195 § 5(d)(2); S. 298 § 5(b)(2); S. 386 § 5(d)(2)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(d)(1); H.R. 768 § 6(e)(1); S. 195 § 5(g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> H.R. 768 § 6(e)(2); S. 195 § 5(d)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> S. 298 § 5(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(f); H.R. 768 § 6(d); S. 195 § 5(d)(7) S. 298 § 5(g); S. 386 § 5(d)(7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(b); S. 195 § 5(d)(3); S. 298 § 5(c); S. 386 § 5(d)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> H.R. 74 § 6(e); S. 195 § 5(d)(6)); S. 298 § 5(f); S. 386 § 5(d)(7).

## Staffing

#### **Director and Commission Staff**

The six proposals would create a variety of staffing arrangements for the proposed commissions. Five of the bills would specifically provide that the commission may hire staff without regard to certain laws regarding the competitive service and pay rates; H.R. 2111 does not specifically exempt the commission from such laws, but may implicitly do so. Three of the proposed commissions would be required to have a staff director; and two others are authorized, but not required, to hire a director. Five proposals would limit staff salaries (including staff director) to either the maximum basic rate of pay for GS-15 (H.R. 768), level IV of the executive schedule (H.R. 74), or level V of the executive schedule (S. 195, S. 298, S. 386). Three of the bills would specifically designate staff as federal employees for the purposes of certain laws, such as workman's compensation, retirement, and other benefits.

#### **Detailees**

Five bills would provide that, upon request, the head of any federal department or agency may detail personnel without reimbursement. H.R. 2111 would allow detailees on a reimbursable basis. As with hiring, it would appear that there are no legal restrictions on any of the proposed commissions from having detailed to it staff members from other agencies or standing committees of the House or Senate.

### **Experts and Consultants**

Four of the bills would provide the proposed commission with the authority to hire experts and consultants under section 3109 of title 5, at a maximum pay rate equal to level IV of the executive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> H.R. 74 § 8(a)(1); H.R. 768 § 8(b)-(c); S. 195 § 5(j)(1); S. 298 § 5(b); S. 386 § 5(e)(3). H.R. 2111 only specifies that "the commission may appoint and fix the pay of any personnel as the Commission considers appropriate." H.R. 2111 § 2(e)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> H.R. 768 § 8(a); S. 298 § 6(a); S. 386 § 5(e)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> H.R. 74 § 8(a)(1); S. 195 § 5(j)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> H.R. 74 § 8(a)(1); H.R. 768 § 8(a)-(b); S. 195 § 5(j)(1); S. 298 § 6(c); S. 386 § 5(e)(3). In 2009, the maximum basic rate of pay for GS-15 is \$127,604, level IV of the executive schedule is \$153,200, and level V of the executive schedule is \$143,500. See Executive Order 13483, "Adjustments of Certain Rates of Pay," 73 Fed. Reg. 78587, Dec. 23, 2008; 5 U.S.C. 5504 (2000). In regard to *who* may be hired as staff, federal law does not appear to prohibit individuals from serving in multiple branches of government (i.e., Legislative Branch and Executive Branch or Independent Agency), nor does the law prohibit staff from serving in multiple positions within the same branch of government. Thus, it would appear that there are no legal restrictions on any of the proposed commissions from hiring staff members from the Treasury Department, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), other agencies, or even other standing committees of the House or Senate. There may be, however, implications on the maximum amount of compensation that can be paid to certain staff members. See 5 U.S.C. 5531 et seq. (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> H.R. 74 § 8(a)(2); S. 195 § 5(j)(2); S. 298 § 6(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> H.R. 74 § 8(b); H.R. 768 § 5(d); S. 195 § 5(j)(2)(B); S. 298 § 6(d); S. 386 § 5(e)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> H.R. 2111 § 2(e)(3).

schedule. 119 H.R. 2111 would provide authority to hire experts and consultants without a maximum pay cap. 120

## **Security Clearances**

H.R. 74 would provide that federal agencies and departments shall cooperate with the commission to provide members and staff appropriate security clearances. <sup>121</sup>

## **Funding and Costs**

### **Authorized Funding**

Three of the bills (H.R. 74, H.R. 768, S. 195) would authorize "such sums as necessary" for the commissions. <sup>122</sup> In addition, H.R. 74 and S. 195 would provide that any appropriated amounts for the commission be available until the termination of the commission. <sup>123</sup> S. 298 does not authorize any funds, instead it states that the Secretary of the Treasury shall provide all amounts necessary to defray costs, up to \$3,000,000. <sup>124</sup> Similarly, S. 386, does not authorize any funds, instead it states that the Secretary of the Treasury shall provide, from money previously appropriated, \$5,000,000. <sup>125</sup> H.R. 2111 does not authorize any funds.

#### Costs

It is difficult to estimate the overall cost of any commission. Annual budgets for congressional advisory entities and executive branch federal advisory committees range from tens of thousands of dollars to millions of dollars annually. Overall expenses for any individual advisory entity are dependent on a variety of factors, the most important of which are the number of paid staff and the duration and scope of the commission. Many commissions have few or no full-time staff; others employ large numbers, such as the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, which had a full-time paid staff of nearly 80. Pecondary factors that can affect commission costs include the number of commissioners, how often the commission meets or holds hearings, whether or not the commission travels or holds field hearings, and the number and size of publications the commission produces.

<sup>122</sup> H.R. 74 § 12(a); H.R. 768 § 9; S. 195 § 5(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> H.R. 74 § 8(e); S. 195 § 5(j)(2)(C); S. 298 § 6(e); S. 386 § 5(e)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> H.R. 2111 § 2(e)(2).

<sup>121</sup> H.R. 74 § 10(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> H.R. 74 § 12(b); S. 195 § 5(l).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> S. 298 § 5(e)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> S. 386 § 5(d)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> For example, the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States received a total of \$15 million in three separate appropriations: an initial \$3 million reprogrammed from the National Foreign Intelligence Program and subsequent appropriations of \$11 million and \$1 million. See Intelligence Authorization Act for FY2003, P.L. 107-306, 116 Stat. 2383 (2002); Emergency Wartime Supplemental Appropriations Act, P.L. 108-11, 117 Stat. 591 (2003); Extension of National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, P.L. 108-207, 118 Stat. 556 (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, "How Many People Serve on the Commission Staff?" at http://govinfo.library.unt.edu/911/about/faq.htm#q4, visited April 20, 2009.

**Table I. Comparison of Commission Membership Structure** 

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Size of Commission Membership	Ten [sec. 5(b)(1)].	Seven [sec. 3(a)].	Ten [sec. 5(b)].	Ten [sec. 4(a)].	Ten [sec. 4(a)].	Five [sec. 2(d)(1)].
Compensation of Committee Members <sup>a</sup>	Executive Schedule Level IV [sec. 5(f)(1)] and travel expenses [sec. 5(f)(2)].	Executive Schedule Level IV [sec. 7(a)] and travel expenses [sec. 7(b)].	Executive Schedule Level IV for non- government (Federal, State, or local)employees [sec. 5(h)(1)]; No additional pay for government (Federal, State, or local) employees or officers [sec. 5(h)(2)]; and Receive travel expenses [sec. 5(i)].	Executive Schedule Level IV [sec. 9(a)] and travel expenses [sec. 9(b)].	Executive Schedule Level IV [sec. 4(g)]; <sup>b</sup> and The pensions of Federal retirees will not be affected by service [sec. 4(i)].	Executive Schedule Level I [sec. 2(d)(2)] and travel expenses [sec. 2(d)(4)]; and Full time officers or employees of the United States or Members of Congress may not receive additional pay, allowances, or benefits [sec. 2(d)(3)].

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Qualifications	In general, individuals appointed to the Commission shall be U.S. citizens having significant experience in such fields as banking, regulation of markets, taxation, finance, economics, and housing [sec. 5(b(2)(A)]; and No person who is a Member of Congress or an officer or employee of the Federal Government or any State or local government may serve as a member of the Commission [sec. 5(b)(2)(B)].	Sense of Congress that individuals appointed to the Commission should be U.S. citizens with national recognition and significant depth of experience in such fields as governmental regulation, finance, economics, and housing [sec. 3(b)].	Commission members may not be an employee, or an immediate family member of an employee, of a private entity or group of private entities that has received or applied for emergency economic assistance from any Federal financial entity [sec. 5(c)(1)]; <sup>c</sup> Shall be chosen from among U.S. citizens with national recognition and experience in the operation of U.S. and global financial markets; the safety and soundness of U.S. financial institutions; the use of complex derivatives and other structured financial instruments; or the investigation and prosecution of fraud and other intricate financial crimes [sec. 5(c)(2)]; and Not more than two appointments may be made from among Federal, State, and local government employees [sec. 5(c)(3)].	Individuals appointed to the Commission may not be an officer or employee of the Federal Government or any State or local government [sec. 4(b)(2)]; and  Sense of Congress that individuals appointed to the Commission should be prominent U.S. citizens, with national recognition and significant depth of experience in such professions as governmental services, economics, law, public administration, commerce, and foreign markets [sec. 4(b)(3)].	Members shall have knowledge and expertise in matters to be studied by the Commission, expect that Members shall not have a conflict of interest with any matter the Commission is required to review under section 3 [sec. 4(b)].	N/A

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Partisan Limitations	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not more than 5 members from the same political party [sec, 4(b)(1)].	N/A	N/A

#### Notes:

- a. Member compensation is based on the daily equivalent of pay associated with prescribed remuneration.
- b. Commission members who are full time officers or employees of the United States are not paid or provided benefits by the Commission.
- c. A private entity or group of private entities that has received or applied for emergency economic assistance from a Federal financial entity is defined in section 2 of S. 195, "Financial Market Investigation and Reform Commission," (111th Congress), introduced January 9, 2009.

**Table 2. Comparison of Commission Appointment Structure** 

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Appointment Structure	Two appointed by the majority leader of the Senate;	Two appointed by the President; One appointed by the	One appointed by the President (serves as Chair);	One appointed by the President (serves as Chair);	Three appointed by the majority leader of the Senate;	One appointed by the majority leader of the House;
	Two appointed by the Speaker of the House; One appointed by the minority leader of the Senate; One appointed by the minority leader of the House; One appointed by the Chair of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs; One appointed by the ranking member of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs; One appointed by the ranking member of the House Committee on Financial Services; and One appointed by the ranking member of the House Committee on Financial Services [sec. 5(b)(1)(A)-(H)].	majority leader of the Senate; One appointed by the Speaker of the House; One appointed by the minority leader of the Senate; One appointed by the minority leader of the House; and One appointed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System [sec. 3(a)(1)-(6)].	One appointed by the minority leader of the Senate in consultation with the minority leader of the House (serves as vice-chair); Two appointed by the majority leader of the Senate; Two appointed by the minority leader of the Senate; Two appointed by the Senate; Two appointed by the Speaker of the House; and Two by the minority leader of the House [sec. 5(b)(1)-(6)].	One appointed by the Senate Democratic leader (majority or minority as appropriate) in consultation with the Democratic Party leader in the House (serves as vice chair); Two appointed by the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Democratic Party; Two appointed by the senior member of the House leadership of the Republican Party; Two appointed by the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Republican Party; and Two appointed by the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Republican Party; and Two appointed by the senior member of the House leadership of the Democratic Party [sec. 4(a)(1)-(6)].	Three appointed by the Speaker of the House; Three appointed by the minority leader of the Senate; and Two appointed by the minority leader of the House [sec. 4(a)(1)-(4)].	One appointed by the minority leader of the House; One appointed by the majority leader of the Senate; One appointed by the minority leader of the Senate; and One appointed by the majority leader of the House and the majority leader of the Senate in consultation with the minority leader of the Senate and the minority leader of the House [sec. 2(d)(1)(A)-(E)].
Vacancies	Vacancies are filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made [sec. 5(b)(5)].	Vacancies are filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made [sec. 3(e)].	Vacancies are filled in the same manner in which original appointment was made [sec. 5(c)(6)].	Vacancies are filled in the same manner in which original appointment was made [sec. 4(c)].	Vacancies are filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made [sec. 4(d)].	Vacancies are filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made [sec. 2(d)(6)].

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Deadline for Appointment	No specific deadline for appointments. <sup>a</sup>	No specific deadline for appointments. <sup>b</sup>	Not later than 30 days after enactment [sec. 5(c)(4)].	Within 60 days of enactment [sec. 4(b)(4)].	Not later than 30 days after enactment [sec. 4(f)].	No specific deadline for appointments.

#### Notes:

- a. Section 5(b)(4) states that four or more members of the Commission are needed to select a temporary chair or vice-chair and begin the operations of the Commission, including hiring staff.
- b. Section 3(d) states that four or more members of the Commission are needed to select a temporary chair or vice-chair and begin the operations of the Commission, including hiring staff.

Table 3. Comparison of Commission Rules of Procedure and Operation

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Chair Selection	Chair selected jointly by Senate majority leader and Speaker of the House; Vice-chair selected jointly by Senate minority leader and House minority leader [sec. 5(b)(3)(A)]; and The chair and vice-chair may not be from the same political party [sec. 5(b)(3)(B)].	Chair and vice-chair are elected by the members [sec. 3(c)(1)]; and The chair and vice-chair shall not be from the same political party [sec. 3(c)(2)].	Presidential appointee serves as chair; and Joint appointee of the Senate minority leader and the House minority leader serves as vice-chair [sec. 5(b)(1)-(2)].	Presidential appointee serves as chair; and Appointee of the Senate Democratic leader serves as vice-chair [sec. 4(a)(1)].	Designated by the Speaker of the House in consultation with the Senate majority leader and the minority leaders of the House and Senate [sec. 4(e)].	No specific process delineated for chair selection.
Initial Meeting	If four members have been appointed 45 days after enactment, the appointed members may meet and, if necessary, select a temporary chair and vice-chair, who may begin commission operations, including hiring staff [sec. 5(b)(4)].	If four members have been appointed 45 days after enactment, the appointed members may meet and, if necessary, select a temporary chair and vice-chair, who may begin commission operations, including hiring staff [sec. 3(d)].	Not later than 45 days after enactment [sec. 5(c)(5)].	As soon as practicable [sec. 4(b)(5)].	Date designated by the Speaker of the House not later than 30 days after the appointment of all members [sec. 4(k)(1)].	No specific date of first meeting.
Quorum	Six [sec. 5(b)(5)].	Four [sec. 3(e)].	Six [sec. 5(c)(6)].	Six [sec. 4(c)].	Majority of members, but a lesser number may hold hearings [sec. 4(j)].	Four, but a lesser number may hold hearings [sec. 2(d)(5

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Additional Rules of Procedure	N/A	N/A	Commission may establish, by majority vote, any other rules for the conduct of business of the Commission, if such rules are not inconsistent with this Act or other applicable law [sec. 5(c)(7)].	Exempt from the Federal Advisory Committee Act a [sec. 7(a)]; Required to hold public hearings and meetings [sec. 7(b)(1) and sec. 7(c)]; and Required to release public versions of reports [sec. 7(b)(2)].	N/A	Shall meet at the ca of the chair or a majority of member [sec. 2(d)(7)].

#### Notes:

a. 5 U.S.C. Appendix—Federal Advisory Committee Act; 86 Stat. 770, as amended. For more information on the act see, CRS Report R40520, Federal Advisory Committees: An Overview, by Wendy R. Ginsberg.

Table 4. Comparison of Commission Duties, Reporting Requirements, and Termination

H.R. 2111 S. 386 S. 298 S. 195 H.R. 74 H.R. 768 General Duties Examine the causes of Examine all causes, Examine and report Conduct an Conduct a study of Review actions leading the current financial domestic and global, on the facts and investigation of: (A) the financial system in up to the 2008 relevant facts and the United States breakdown in the and economic crisis of the current causes of the collapse including the role of financial and of the Nation's circumstances relating including examining financial markets and specified topic areas; a economic crisis in the financial system and to the financial crisis the current financial failures in the credit crisis; crisis, its causes and United States, of 2008, including any regulatory system and Examine the causes of including the collapse legislation, Executive its impact on the submit reports to the collapse of each Ascertain, evaluate, of major financial and order, regulation. Congress including all Federal deficit and tax and report on the major financial commercial firms and plan, policy, practice relevant events. revenues, includinginstitution that failed extent to which the deterioration of or procedure; and (B) (I) regulation and persons, entities and Federal entities had (including institutions the credit and housing may include facts and transparency, (2) data leading up to the that were acquired to information on fraud and abuse, (3) breakdown in the markets; circumstances relating prevent their failure) financial practices that to government the fairness and equity financial markets or where likely to Investigate the role in they knew or should of the tax treatments through December sponsored enterprises have failed if not for the financial and have known were (Fannie Mae and of financial products 2008; receipt of exceptional economic crisis of: risky or reckless, and Freddie Mac), the and arrangements, Government (A) the SEC, (B) posed a threat to the Study the impact of stock market, the and (4) the role of any assistance form the nationally recognized well being of the public and private housing market, credit and all participants in Department of the statistical rating Nation's financial actions on financial rating agencies, the the financial services organizations, (C) the markets and financial Treasury during the systems; financial services industry that the CFTC, (D) Fannie period beginning in institutions: Build on any sector (including Commission deems August 2007 through Mae and Freddie Mac. investigations by the Study the extent to hedge funds, private necessary, including April 2009; (E) trading facilities Senate Committee on which the information (but not limited to) equity and insurance for commodities, (F) Refer to the Attorney Banking, Housing, and made available on industry), the role of the Department of the Federal banking General of the United Urban Affairs and the transactions congressional Housing and Urban agencies, (G) any House Committee on States and any contributed to market Development; the oversight and financial or appropriate State Financial Services, by transparency; and resource allocation, Department of commercial attorney general any other congressional and other areas of the Treasury; the SEC; Study the corporation, person that the committees and the effectiveness of public and private Fannie Mae; Freddie partnership, or entity, Commission finds may Federal banking efforts, regulatory Mac: the Board of sectors determined and any other have violated the laws agencies, and the SEC authority, and by the commission; Governors of the governmental or nonof the United States in to avoid duplication of programs from the and Federal Reserve governmental entity; effort: relation to such crisis: standpoint of System (and its banks Make a full and minimizing risk to and leadership): the complete report of investors and tax executive, legislative the reason for the payers [sec. 2(c)]. and judicial branches financial system of government; the

collapse; and

FDIC; and the CFTC

[sec. 3(a)].

## General Duties (con't)

Review and build upon the record of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs and the House Committee on Financial Services, other Congressional committees, the GAO, and other legislative panels with respect to the current financial and economic crisis [sec. 5(c)];

Chair shall, not later than I 20 days after submission of the final reports, appear before the Senate Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee and the House Financial Services Committee [sec. 5(g)(3)]; and

Consult with the Senate Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee and the House Financial Services Committee and may consult with other committees of Congress, for purposes of informing Congress on the work of the Commission [sec. 5(g)(4)].

Refer to the Attorney General of the United States and any appropriate State attorney general any person that the Commission finds may have violated the laws of the United States [sec. 4]. Report findings, conclusions, and legislative and regulatory recommendations to the President and Congress [sec. 5(a)]. Identify, review, and evaluate the lessons learned from the financial crisis of 2008, regarding the structure, coordination, management policies, and procedures of the Federal Government, and, if appropriate, State and local governments and non-governmental entities, relative to detecting, preventing, and responding to such financial crises [sec. 5(a)(1) and (2)].

Content

At the discretion of the chair, the report may include reports or specific findings on any financial institution examined by the Commission [sec. 5(g)(2)].

Optional interim reports [sec. 8(b)]. Final report contains findings and conclusions on: (1) the causes of the current financial and economic crisis in the United States; and (2) recommendations for statutory and regulatory changes as a majority of Commission members find necessary to prevent a financial and economic crisis comparable to the current financial and economic crisis in the United States [sec. 8(a)(1)-(2)].

Two interim reports to Congress that discuss Commission progress [sec. 5(f)].

Optional interim report(s) [sec. | I(a)] and required final report [sec. | I(b)] which both contain findings, conclusions, and recommendations for corrective measures as have been agreed upon by a majority of Commission members

Report shall include a detailed statement of findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Commission and shall address the following: (1) the causes of the current financial crisis and how this kind of crisis can be avoided in the future; (2) the stage the current financial crisis is in and what can be expected in subsequent stages; (3) the impact of the current financial crisis on Federal revenues; (4) the extent to which the financial regulatory structure should be restructured; and (5) the tax treatment of financial products and arrangements and how to make them more fair and equitable [sec. 3(b)]. Make recommendations for

rights [sec. 3(c)].

an investors bill of

Regular monthly progress reports on finding and conclusions of the Commission [sec. 2(c)(2)];

Final report with a detailed description of the findings and conclusions of the Commission recommendations for legislative or administrative action [sec. 2(c)(2)(C)]; Special report on corporate abuse of tax payer funds to Congress analyzing the current state and effectiveness of the regulatory system in overseeing participants in the financial system and protecting consumers [sec. 2(c)(3)]; and Special report on the fiduciary negligence, fraudulent behavior, poor corporate governance, obstructionism, or media manipulation by corporate offices and elected or appointed government officials [sec. 2(c)(4)].

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Deadline(s)	December 15, 2010 [sec. 5(g)(1)].	Final report submitted not later than one year after the date of the first meeting [sec. 8(a)].	Final report submitted 12 months after enactment [sec. 5(f)].	Final report submitted no later than 12 months after enactment [sec. 11(b)].	Final report submitted not later than 90 days after the date on which members are first appointed [sec. 7].	Final report submitted as last monthly report prior to termination [sec. 2(c)(2)(C)].
Submission	President and Congress [sec. 5(g)(1)].	President and Congress [sec. 8(a) and (b)].	Congress [sec. 5(f)].	President and Congress [sec. 5(a)(3)].	President and Congress [sec. 7].	Congress [sec. 2(c)(2)(A)].
Termination	60 days after submission of final report [sec. 5(h)(1)].	60 days after submission of the final report [sec. 8(c)].	60 days after submission of the final report [sec. 5(k)].	Four years after the date on which the final investigate report is submitted [sec. II(d)].	30 days after submission of final report [sec. 8].	One year after enactment. Congress may extend on an annual basis for not more than one year at a time [sec. 2(g)].

#### Notes:

a. Sec. 5(c)(1)(A)-(W) lists specific functions (duties) of the commission.

**Table 5. Comparison of Commission Powers** 

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Hearings and Evidence	Can hold hearings, take testimony, receive evidence and administer oaths [sec. 5(d)(1)(A)].	Can hold hearings, take testimony, receive evidence, and administer oaths [sec. 5(a)(1)].	Can hold hearings, take testimony, and collect evidence [sec. 5(d)(1)].	Can hold hearings, take testimony, receive evidence, and administer oaths [sec. 6(a)(1)].	Can hold hearings, take testimony, and receive evidence [sec. 6(a)].	Can hold hearings, take testimony, receive evidence and administer oaths [sec. 2(f)(1)].
Subpoenas	Can issue subpoenas [sec. 5(d)(2)]; and Can require the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of books, records, correspondence, memoranda, papers, and documents by subpoena [sec. 5(d)(1)(B)].	Can issue subpoenas [sec. 5(b)]; and Can require the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of books, records, correspondence, memoranda, papers, and documents by subpoena [sec. 5 (a)(2)].	Can issue subpoenas under the signature of the chair or any member designated by a majority of the Commission members, by agreement of the chair and vice-chair, or by the affirmative vote of six members [sec. 5(d)(2)].	Can issue subpoenas [sec. 6(a)(2)]; and Can require the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of books, records, correspondence, memoranda, papers, and documents by subpoena [sec. 6(a)(1)(B)].	N/A	Can issue subpoenas subject to approval by the House Office of General Council [sec. 2(f)(4)].
Administrative Support	N/A	Provided by the Secretary of the Treasury [sec. 5(e)(1)(A)] up to \$3,000,000 [sec. 5(e)(1)(B)]; and Other departments and agencies are authorized to provide services, funds, facilities, staff, and other support services [sec. 5 (e)(2)].	Provided by the Administrator of General Services on a reimbursable basis, including human resource management, budget, leasing, accounting, and payroll services [sec. 5(g) and sec. 5(d)(5)]; and Other Federal agencies are authorized to provide services, funds, facilities, and staff [sec. 5(d)(5)].	Provided by the Administrator of General Services on a reimbursable basis [sec. 6(d)(1)]; and Other departments and agencies may provide services, funds, facilities, staff, and other support services [sec. 6(d)(2)].	Provided by the Administrator of General Services on a reimbursable basis [sec. 6(e)].	N/A

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Other Powers						
Gifts	May accept, use, and dispose of gifts or donations of services or property [sec. 5(d)(6)].	May accept, use, and dispose of gifts or donations of services or property [sec. 5(f)].	May accept, use, and dispose of gifts or donations of services or property [sec. 5(d)(6)].	May accept, use, and dispose of gifts or donations of services or property [sec. 6(e)].	N/A	N/A
Postal Service	May use the U.S. mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as departments and agencies [sec. 5(d)(7)].	May use the U.S. mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as departments and agencies [sec. 5(g)].	May use the U.S. mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as departments and agencies [sec. 5(d)(7)].	May use the U.S. mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as departments and agencies [sec. 6(f)].	May use the U.S. mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as departments and agencies [sec. 6(d)].	N/A
Contract Authority	May enter into contracts [sec. $5(d)(3)$ ].	May enter into contracts [sec. 5(c)].	May enter into contracts [sec. 5(d)(3)].	May enter into contracts [sec. 6(b)].	N/A	N/A
Information From Federal Agencies	May gather information directly from any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States, including confidential materials, upon request [sec. 5(d)(4)(A)]; and Sense of Congress that Commission should seek testimony or information from principles and other representatives of government agencies and private entities that were significant participants in the U.S. and global financial and housing markets [sec. 5(d)(4)(B)].	May gather information directly from any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States upon request [sec. 5(d)].	May obtain information from Federal departments and agencies [sec. 5(d)(4)].	May secure directly from any executive department, bureau, agency, board, commission, office, independent establishment, or instrumentality upon request of a majority of members [sec. 6(c)(1)]; and Information received is handled consistent with applicable statues, regulations, and Executive orders [sec. 6(c)(2)].	Upon the request of the chair, may secure information directly from any agency [sec. 6(c)].	May secure directly from any department or agency of the U.S. information necessary [sec. 2(f)(3)(A)]; and Upon request of the chair, the head of any department or agency shall furnish information [sec. 2(f)(3)(B)].

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Delegation of Power	Any subcommittee, member, or agent of the Commission may, if authorized by the Commission, take any action which the Commission is authorized to take [sec. 5(d)(8)].	Any subcommittee, member, or agent of the Commission may, if authorized by the Commission, take any action which the Commission is authorized to take [sec. 5(h)].	N/A	N/A	Any member or agent may, if authorized, take any action which the commission is authorized to take [sec. 6(b)].	Any member or agen may, if authorized, take any action which the commission is authorized to take [sec. 2(f)(2)].

Table 6. Comparison of Commission Staffing

		•					
	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111	
Hiring	Director appointed by the chair and vice-chair, acting jointly [sec. 5(e)(1)]; Chair and vice-chair may jointly appoint additional personnel as necessary [sec. 5(e)(2)]; and Staff appointment is made without regard to title 5 <i>United States Code</i> <sup>a</sup> [sec. 5(e)(3)].	Director appointed by the chair and co-chair, acting jointly [sec. 6(a)]; Chair and co-chair, acting jointly, may appoint additional personnel as necessary [sec. 6(b)]; and Staff appointment is made without regard to title 5, <i>United States Code</i> <sup>a</sup> [sec. 6(c)].	Chair, in consultation with the vice-chair, in accordance with Commission rules, may appoint and fix the compensation of a staff director and such other personnel as may be necessary, without regard to title 5, <i>United States Code</i> <sup>a</sup> [sec. 5(j)(1)]; and Commission personnel are considered federal employees under 5 U.S.C. § 2105 [sec. 5(j)(2)(A)].	Chair, in consultation with vice-chair and Commission rules, may appoint and fix the compensation of a staff director and such other personnel as may be necessary, without regard to title 5, United States Code; a No pay rate may exceed Executive Schedule level V [sec. 8(a)(1)]; Commission personnel are considered federal employees under 5 U.S.C. § 2105 [sec. 8(a)(2)(A)]; and Staffing provisions do not apply to commission members [sec. 8(a)(2)(B)].	Director appointed by the chair [sec. 5(a)]; Up to three additional staff appointed by the chair [sec. 5(b)]; and Director and staff appointed without regard to title 5, United States Code a [sec. 5(c)].	May appoint and fix the pay of any personnel [sec. 2(e)(I)].	
Staff Salaries	Not to exceed Executive Schedule Level V [sec. 5(e)(3)].	Not to exceed Executive Schedule Level V [sec. 6(c)].	Not to exceed Executive Schedule Level V [sec. 5(j)(1)].	Not to exceed Executive Schedule Level V [sec. 8(a)(1)].	Director: Maximum of GS-15 [sec. 5(a)]; and Staff: Maximum of GS-15 [sec. 5(b)].	No specific mention of staff salary.	

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Detailees	Without reimbursement from any Federal department or agency; and	Without reimbursement from any Federal department or agency; and	Without reimbursement from any Federal department or agency; and	Without reimbursement from any Federal department or agency; and	Without reimbursement from any Federal department or agency [sec. 5(d)].	On a reimbursable basis from any Federal department or agency [sec. 2(e)(3)].
	Detailees retain the rights, status, and privileges of his or her regular employment without interruption [sec. 5(e)(4)].	Detailees retain the rights, status, and privileges of his or her regular employment without interruption [sec. 6(d)].	Detailees retain the rights, status, and privileges of his or her regular employment without interruption [sec. 5(j)(2)(B)].	Detailees retain the rights, status, and privileges of his or her regular employment without interruption [sec. 8(b)].		
Experts and Consultants	Authorized to procure the services of experts and consultants in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 3109 b at rates not to exceed Executive Schedule Level IV [sec. 5(e)(5)],	Authorized to procure the services of experts and consultants at rates not to exceed Executive Schedule Level IV [sect. 6(e)].	Authorized to procure the services of experts and consultants at rates not to exceed Executive Schedule Level IV [sec. 5(j)(2)(C)].	Authorized to procure the services of experts and consultants at rates not to exceed Executive Schedule Level IV [sec. 8(c)].	N/A	Authorized to procure temporary and intermittent services under 5 U.S.C. § 3109(b) <sup>b</sup> [sec. 2(e)(2)].

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Other	N/A	N/A	Shall issue rules to manage or prohibit conflicts of interest involving its members, staff, consultants, and any others providing assistance [sec. 5(e)].	Appropriate Federal agencies or departments shall cooperate with the Commission in expeditiously providing the Commission Members and staff appropriate security clearances to the extent possible pursuant to existing procedures and requirements, except that no person shall be provided with access to classified information without the appropriate security clearances [sec. 10].	N/A	N/A

#### Notes:

- a. Title 5, United States Code, governs appointments in the competitive service.
- b. 5 U.S.C. § 3109 governs the hiring of experts and consultants on a temporary or intermittent basis by the Federal government.

**Table 7. Comparison of Commission Funding** 

	S. 386	S. 298	S. 195	H.R. 74	H.R. 768	H.R. 2111
Funding Authorized	\$5,000,000 transferred from existing appropriations from the Department of the Treasury [sec. 5(d)(5)].	Up to \$3,000,000 transferred from the Department of the Treasury [sec. 5(e)(2)].	Such sums as necessary [sec. 5(I)].	Such sums as necessary [sec. 12(a)].	Such sums as necessary [sec. 9].	No mention of funding.
Duration of Availability	Available until expended or termination of Commission [sec. 5(d)(5)].	N/A	Without fiscal year limitation until Commission termination [sec. 5(I)].	Until termination [sec. 12(b)].	N/A	N/A

#### **Author Information**

Matthew Eric Glassman Analyst on the Congress Jacob R. Straus Analyst on the Congress

#### Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.